

Effect of Magnetic Water on the Performance of Lactating Goats

Research Article

Volume 2 Issue 5 - 2015

Yacout MH*, Hassan AA, Khalel MS, Shwerab AM, Abdel-Gawad EI and Abdel-kader YI*Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt****Corresponding author:** Helmy Yacout, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt, Email: helmy_yacout@yahoo.com**Received:** July 22, 2015 | **Published:** September 04, 2015**Summary**

This work was aimed to test the responses of zaraibi goats consumed magnetic water (field of ≈ 1200 and 3600 gauss) on rumen fermentation, milk and labneh yield and their components. Blood picture and antioxidant status were also studied. Fifteen lactating Zaraibi does (post weaning) were randomly divided into three equal groups (5 does each). First group, were drank tap water and regarded as control group, second and third groups were drank magnetic treated water with 1200 and 3600 gauss, respectively. While nine zaraibi bucks were used in three digestion trials. For rumen fermentation trials three does fitted with permanent rumen fistula were used. All groups of goats were provided free access to the water and fed the same ration [1].

Results indicated that:

- i. There was an improvement in water quality when exposed to the magnetic field;
- ii. Magnetic water significantly increased feeding values, nitrogen utilization, VFA's concentration, ruminal bacteria numbers and their activities and microbial protein (MP) syntheses, the highest values were recorded at the used level of 3600 gauss;
- iii. pH value, ammonia-N concentration and methane production was decreased with magnetic water;
- iv. DM and NDF with magnetic water were more effective degradability, while no significant different in CP degradability among groups;
- v. Third group (T2) had significantly increased feed intake and water consumption; it had significantly yielded more milk, 4% FCM, milk fat and protein than other groups;
- vi. Chemical composition and organoleptic properties of labneh were increased with magnetic groups;
- vii. some hematological and biochemical (glucose, total protein, albumin and globulin) parameters were significantly increased with magnetized water, while cholesterol and urea-N concentrations, AST and ALT were significantly decreased and
- viii. Magnetic water resulted in higher antioxidant compared to that drank unmagnetic water.

In conclusion, magnetic treatment could improve water quality and consumption, feeding value, ruminal fermentation, blood picture, antioxidant status and hence milk and labneh yields especially with 3600 gauss.

Keywords: Magnetic water; Digestibility; Rumen fermentation; Blood picture; Antioxidant status; Milk production; Labneh manufacturing; Zaraibi goats

Introduction

Water quality is necessary for animal production as water is the fuel of life; it transports fluids and nutrients through the blood, maintains the integrity of cell structure and regulates body temperature [2,3]. Sargolzei et al. [4] refer that, the first component being used in producing milk by mammals is water, which is named as the second essential nutrient for lactating dairy cattle. In literature, the magnetic technology was investigated in the plant fields, but little attention was given to its roles in animal

reproductive and production application [5,6]. The principle of magnetic technology depends on a moving electric charge in the ion form and the magnetic field [7]. Contact of water with a permanent magnet for a considerable time produced magnetic charges and magnetic properties. Such magnetically treated water can decrease microbial load and improve the immune system [8]. Exposing of water to strong magnetic field affected minerals content of water and its effects depended on the strength of magnetic field and exposure time. Nowadays, the use of magnets to improve water quality is of significant interest due to low cost

compared to chemical and physical treatments. In this regard, exposing water to a magnetic field causes an increase in solubility of calcium salts so that avoids from lime-scale depositing in pipes and also cleans pipes from lime-scales being deposited in the past [9]. Lin [10] mentioned that there is a change in mineral contents of water by magnetizing that causes them to pass the biological membranes more easily.

Ma et al. [11] presented the possibility that magnetic water can prevent aging and fatigue by increasing the cell membrane permeability. Also, Buyukuslu et al. [12] indicated that activity of superoxide dismutase was increased in magnetic field [12]. Water magnetization changes water properties which becomes more energized, active, soft and high pH toward slight alkaline and free of germs [13], also Al-Mufarrej et al. [6] mentioned that, water solution passes through magnetic field acquire finer and more homogeneous structures, which increases the fluidity, dissolving capability for various constituents like minerals and vitamins and consequently improves the biological activity of solutions, affecting positively the performance of animals and plants. Physics shows that water change its weight under the influence of magnetic fields. More hydroxyl (OH⁻) ions are created to form alkaline molecules, and reduce acidity, for this reason cancer cells do not survive well in an alkaline environment [8]. Water resources and quality has been shown to influence animal performance, limit the extension of animal production and increase health threat [3,14,15]. Some researches indicated that magnetic water resulted in better efficiency in agricultural products [16,17].

In animal husbandry, Lin & Yotvat [10] reported that magnetic drinking water caused increase milk production, mutton, and wool in sheep not only that, but more weight gain in geese and egg production and hatchability in turkey can be achieved. Also, increasing in milk yields in dairy cows [10]; dairy ewes [18]. Khilil et al. [19] concluded that milk sterilization might perform using magnetic field application. Moreover, it is considerable to more beneficial effects for rumen ecosystem and ruminal fermentation parameters [20]. On the other hand, a contradictory results were reported by Patterson & Chestnutt [21] and Sargolzehi et al. [4] they showed that magnetic water did not positively affect animal and poultry performance. So, due to the few publications for evaluating the effect of using magnetic water with dairy animal and hence milk component changes, the aim of this study was to find the effect consuming magnetic water on water consumption, rumen fermentation, antioxidant status, milk and blood components of lactating goats and labneh yield and its properties.

Materials and Methods

The experimental work of the present study was conducted at Noubaria Experimental Station, affiliates Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center.

Preparation of Magnetically treated Water (MTW)

Two types of permanent magnets were used for conditioning of water by using what is called Aqua Correct unit (Magnetic water softeners and Conditioners, Blue Goose Sales, 200S Duane Ct, Post Falls ID 83854, USA). First with 1200 Gauss magnet and

second 3600 Gauss magnet, which were produced for pipe water conditioning. The strength of the magnet was measured by a gauss meter before the initiation and after the termination of the experiment at Application Laboratory, City for Scientific Research and Biotechnology, Japanese University, Egypt.

Water quality

Physiological properties of ordinary and magnetically treated water were determined according to H.M.S.O. [22]. Total bacteria count was determined according to Clesceri et al. [23]. Quantitative determination of macro elements of minerals in water were measured using Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (Perkin Elemer, model 10LOB) according to Heghedúş-Mîndru et al. [24].

Diet nutrient profile

Table 1 illustrated the chemical composition of experimental concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and roughages {whole corn silage (WCS) and shopped rice straw (RS) (1:1, WCS: RS, on DM basis)} used in the experiment. CFM consists of 35% yellow corn, 10% soybean meal, 18 % wheat bran, 8% rice bran, 20% undecorticated cotton seed meal, 5% molasses, 2.5% limestone, 1% salt, 0.5% mineral mixtures.

Table 1: Chemical analyses and fiber fraction of CFM, WCS and RS (% on DM basis).

Items	CFM	WCS	RS
Chemical Analysis			
OM	90.21	90.17	84.88
CP	15.56	8.57	3.83
CF	11.83	27.42	36.79
EE	2.88	3.02	1.61
Ash	9.79	9.83	15.12
NFE	59.94	51.16	42.65
Fiber Fractions			
NDF	50.11	53.25	66.84
ADF	33.06	34.65	47.25
ADL	4.21	5.44	11.34
Hemi-Cellulose	17.05	18.60	19.59
Cellulose	28.85	29.21	35.91

Digestibility and nitrogen balance trials

Digestibility and nitrogen balance trials were carried out using nine zaraibi bucks (48 ± 1.50 kg, a live body weight) and divided into three groups (C, T₁ and T₂; three bucks each). Each group was subjected to a different treatment: First group (C, control) with ordinary tap water; second group consumed water treated with 1200 gauss (T₁) and left to have third group had treated water with 3600 gauss (T₂). Each trial lasted for 42 days; the first 35 days as a preliminary period, followed by 7 days for feces and urine collection. Animals were offered roughage *ad libitum* twice a day at 8.00 and 16.00 plus restricted amount of CFM to cover 50% of protein requirements according to NRC [1]. Bucks were provided

with fresh magnetic water treatment every 12 hours according to the instructions of the magnetic manufacturer. Water consumption was recorded, and water tanks were filled twice daily. Chemical composition of feeds, feces and urine was determined according to AOAC [25]. Cell wall was analyzed for neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) using Tecator Fibretic system. Hemicellulose and cellulose were determined by difference according to Van Soest [26].

Rumen fermentation and In situ trials

Three ruminally-cannulated zaraibi does were used for rumen fermentation and in situ trials. Rumen samples were withdrawn before feeding and 1, 3 and 6 hrs after feeding for *in vitro* incubation using the zero rate techniques as described by Carrol and Hungate [27]. Ruminant pH value measured using digital pH meter (Orion 680). Ammonia-N was carried out using MgO distillation method [28], while total VFA's were determined by steam distillation as described by Warner [29]. Total bacteria count was carried out according to Difco [30]; microbial nitrogen synthesis in the rumen according to the method of Makkar et al. [31] using tungstic acid. Nylon bags technique [32] was used to determine DM, NDF and CP degradability for ration. Two polyester bags (7×15 cm) with pore size of 45 µm were used for each incubation time. Approximately 5g of air-dried ration (ground to 2 mm) were placed in each bag. Bags were incubated in the rumen of each doe and withdrawn after 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h. After the bags were withdrawn from the rumen, they were rinsed in tap water until the water became clear, then they were squeezed gently. Microorganisms attached to the residual sample were eliminated by freezing at -20°C [33]. Zero-time washing losses (a) were determined by washing 2 bags in running water for 15 min. The degradation kinetics of DM, NDF and CP were estimated (in each bag) by fitting the disappearance values to the equation $P = a + b(1 - e^{-ct})$ as proposed by Ørskov and McDonald [34], where P represents the disappearance after time t. Least-squares estimated soluble fractions are defined as the rapidly degraded fraction (a), slowly degraded fraction (b) and the rate of degradation (c), respectively. The effective degradability (ED) were estimated from the equation cited by McDonald [35], $ED = a + bc / (c + k)$, where k is the out flow rate.

Methane production determination

The *in vitro* gas production (GP) assay was adapted to a semi-automatic system [36] using a pressure transducer and data logger (GN200) for measuring the gas produced in 120 ml serum bottles incubated at 39°C. Ground samples (0.3 g) were incubated in 45 ml of diluted rumen fluid [37]. Once filled, all the bottles were closed with rubber stoppers shaken and placed in the incubator at 39°C. The bottles were shaken manually after the recording of the gas headspace pressure at 6, 12 and 24 h incubation using a pressure transducer [38]. Methane determination using gas chromatography equipped. Methane production at the end of incubation period was estimated from the volume of gas and the gas composition data as " $CH_4 = [GP + HS] \times Conc$ "; where CH_4 is the volume (ml) of methane, GP is the volume (ml) of gas produced at the end of the incubation, HS is the volume (ml) of the headspace in the serum bottle and Conc is the percentage of methane in the gas sample analyzed [39].

Feeding experiment

Fifteen lactating Zaraibi does (post weaning) in the 2nd and 3rd season of lactation, aging 2.5-3.5 years with 36.25 Kg in average body weights were randomly divided into three equal groups, (5 does each) for experiment period of 60 days in randomized complete block design [40]. Animals were offered roughage *ad libitum* twice a day at 8.00 and 16.00 plus restricted amount of CFM to cover 50% of protein requirements according to NRC [1]. Does were provided with fresh magnetic water treatment every 12 hours, water consumption was recorded. Milk yield was individually recorded on two successive days, milk samples were collected twice daily for 4 times in the 60 days through the collection period from all goats according to Galatov [41]. Milk samples (about 0.5% of total milk produced) were taken biweekly from does of all groups during lactation. Milk samples were chemically analyzed for total solid (TS), protein, fat and ash according to AOAC [25] while lactose was calculated by difference.

Preparation and analysis of labneh

Labneh is a fermented dairy product obtained by the filtration of yoghurt (Zabady) through cloth bags overnight. All types of milk were firstly processed into yoghurt (Zabady). Milks were separately heated to 82°C for 20 minutes, then cooled to 45°C, 2% yoghurt starter culture were added to the warm milk, well stirred then incubated at $42 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ up to complete coagulation. The resultant yoghurt kept at refrigerator $5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 hours. 2% kitchen salt were added, the curd were transferred into the cloth bags and left for overnight filtration at room temperature to obtain homogeneous labneh. The amounts of labneh were weighed. The explained method of labneh was described by Tamime and Robenson [42]. Samples of labneh were taken to assess total solids (TS), fat, total nitrogen and ash according to AOAC [25], while titratable acidity as described by Ling [43]. Total protein was calculated by multiplying total nitrogen $\times 6.37$. pH values were measured using a digital pH meter (Orion 680). Sensory evaluation of labneh was conducted according to Nelson and Trout [44], where 45 points were given for flavors, 30 point for body and texture, 15 points for appearance and 10 points for acidity.

Blood biochemical constituents

Blood samples were collected at the end of the experimental period from all goats. Blood samples were obtained from the jugular vein of the goats in the morning before access to feed and water. Serum was obtained by centrifugation of blood and stored at -20°C until used for analysis. Commercial kits were used for all blood measures. Glucose concentration was determined by the method of Trinder [45]; serum cholesterol by the colorimetric method of Tietz [46]; serum total protein (TP) by the Biuret method according to Henry et al. [47]. Albumin (A) concentration was determined according to Doumas et al. [48]. Kidney function was evaluated by measuring blood urea using the colorimetric methods of Henry and Todd [49]. Liver function was assessed by measuring the activities of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine amino transferase (ALT) by the method of Reitman and Frankel [50]. Hematological measures applied on all whole blood samples immediately after collection according to Schalm

et al. [51]. Enzymatic antioxidants activity in red blood cells was determined for glutathione peroxidase according to Moron et al. [52]. Catalase was determined according to Caliborne [53]. Superoxide dismutase was determined according to Marklund and Marklund [54].

Statistical analysis

Obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis using general linear models (GLM) procedure of SAS [55]. Significant differences among means were separated using LSD test according to Duncan [56] and significance was declared at $P < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

Water quality

There was an improvement in water quality when exposed to the magnetic field with considerable change in the pH, total dissolved solids, total hardness, conductivity, salinity, dissolved

oxygen, evaporating temperature, minerals, organic matter and total count of bacteria (Tables 2 & 3). The increase of salinity due to the magnetic exposure could be attributed to increasing soluble salts which concurred with the conductivity, while increasing dissolved oxygen could be due to the decrease in organic matter in magnetic water. Physics shows that water changes weight under the influence of magnetic fields. Increasing both the electric conductivity and the dielectric constant of water was documented [57]. Some researchers reported that magnetic treatment affect water properties such as light absorbance, pH, surface tension [58] and amount of oxygen dissolved in water [59]. Normal water has a pH level of about 7, whereas magnetic water can reach pH to 9.2 following the exposure to 7000 gauss strength magnet for a long period of time [8]. Ibrahim [57] concluded that, the applied magnetic field may affect the formation of hydrogen bonds of water molecule and that may lead to conformation changes. These changes may be the reason for the observed variations in both conductivity and dielectric content.

Table 2: Physiological properties of ordinary and magnetically treated water used in the experiment.

Physical Properties	Unit	Treatments		
		Control	1200 Gauss	3600 Gauss
pH	-	6.76	7.39	7.44
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	658	673	697
Total Hardness	mg/L	432	445	459
Conductivity (EC)	Ms/cm	696	731	749
Salinity	mg/L	370	385	395
Dissolved Oxygen	ppm	6.40	7.10	7.30
Evaporating Temperature	gm/hour	0.77	0.74	0.72

Table 3: Chemical analysis and total count of bacteria of ordinary and magnetically treated water used in the experiment.

Parameters	Unit	Treatments		
		Control	1200Gauss	3600 Gauss
Sodium (Na ⁺)	ppm	6.4	6.6	7.1
Potassium (K ⁺)	ppm	1.5	1.7	1.8
Ammonia (NH ₄ ⁺)	ppm	3.1	2.9	2.8
Calcium (Ca ²⁺)	ppm	112.9	118.3	120.5
Magnesium (Mg ²⁺)	ppm	112.7	114.7	117.3
Chloride (Cl ⁻)	ppm	2.9	3.1	3.3
Carbonate (Co ₃ ²⁻)	ppm	3.9	4.1	4.3
Bicarbonate (HCo ₃)	ppm	25.2	25.9	26.8
Organic Matter	ppm	55	49	41
Total Count of Bacteria	CFU	2.81	2.8	2.8

It was reported that water passed through the magnetic field acquires finer and more homogeneous structure [60]. This increasing fluidity, dissolving capacity of various constituents like minerals and vitamins [61] and consequently improving the biological activity of solutions positively affecting the performance of human being, animal and plants [6]. Hussen [62] reported that magnetic water lead to an increase of blood flow and supply of oxygen and nutrients to the cells. It is also; possible that exposure to electromagnetic field can ameliorate the deleterious effect of free radicals by decreasing the chemical reactions that caused damage to DNA, proteins and lipids. Alternatively, applied magnetic fields to water through using magnetic pipe may increase their rates of degradation by reaction with protective enzymes such as catalase and superoxide dismutase [63].

Digestibility and nitrogen balance trials

Dry matter intake (DMI) was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$)

for bucks drink magnetic water supplied with 3600 gauss (T_2), while the difference was insignificant ($P > 0.05$) between those consumed ordinary tap water (C) and group supplied with 1200 gauss (T_1) (Table 4). The highest values of digestibility coefficients were recorded with the two groups used magnetic water (T_1 and T_2). These were reflected on TDN, DCP and N-utilization values. Qiu-jiang et al. [64] found that sheep consumed magnetic water significantly increased DM intake and the apparent digestibility of OM, CP, cellulose, semi-cellulose, Ca and P by 17.3%, 4.4%, and 5.0%, 4.8%, 3.8%, 0.6% and 2.8%, respectively. Also, levy et al. [65] reported that digestibility of dry matter tended to increase and metabolizable energy was converting more efficiently to gain with magnetic drinking water. However, Rodriguez et al. [66] showed positive impact of magnetic exposure on weight gain, feed utilization and reproductive traits of rabbit bucks. Moreover, Attia et al. [67] illustrated that bucks consumed magnetic water significantly increased feed intake, metabolic profiles and body weight.

Table 4: Effects of magnetic water on dry matter intake (g/h/d), digestibility coefficients, nutritive values and nitrogen utilization of Zaraibi bucks (means \pm SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T_1	T_2	SEM	Sig.
DM intake (g/h/d)					
Total DMI, g	1076.78 ^b	1114.09 ^b	1169.43 ^a	13.98	*
Digestibility coefficients (%)					
DM	62.39 ^c	63.83 ^b	65.22 ^a	0.32	**
OM	65.35 ^c	66.66 ^b	67.92 ^a	0.29	**
CP	60.37 ^c	63.47 ^b	66.11 ^a	0.21	**
CF	56.47 ^c	58.76 ^b	61.19 ^a	0.57	**
EE	59.93 ^c	61.33 ^b	62.61 ^a	0.31	**
NFE	71.44 ^b	72.05 ^a	72.65 ^a	0.22	*
Nutritive values (%)					
TDN	62.22 ^c	63.46 ^b	64.67 ^a	0.28	**
DCP	6.58 ^c	6.82 ^b	6.96 ^a	0.03	**
Nitrogen utilization (g/h/d)					
N-intake (g/d)	18.79 ^b	19.16 ^b	19.70 ^a	0.14	*
N-absorbed (g/d)	11.34 ^c	12.16 ^b	13.02 ^a	0.09	*
N- retained (g/d)	4.98 ^b	5.82 ^a	6.30 ^a	0.09	*
N-balance as % of N-intake	26.50 ^b	30.38 ^a	31.98 ^a	0.34	*
N-balance as % of N- abso.	43.92 ^b	47.86 ^a	48.39 ^a	0.42	*

** $P < 0.01$ and * $P < 0.05$

a, b and c: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different.

C: Group supplied with ordinary tap water (control).

T_1 : Group supplied with 1200 gauss; T_2 : Group supplied with 3600 gauss.

In paradox to this elucidation, Patterson and Chestnutt [21] found that magnetic drinking water tended to have adverse effects on feed intake, nutrients utilization and lamb performance. Nitrogen retained was positive for all experiment groups, it was significantly ($P<0.05$) improved when bucks drinking magnetic water compared to control group. Results of nitrogen retained as a percentage of N-intake was obviously higher ($P<0.05$) with T_1 and T_2 than the control group. The same trend was observed for N-utilization when it expressed as N-retained/ N-absorption (%). These results were in agreement with those found by Neto et al. [68] who found that N retention by body weight were elevated with drinking treated water, probably resulting from decreased nitrogen excretion in urine.

Ruminal fermentation

Ruminal pH values significantly ($P<0.05$) decreases in the rumen at the two levels of magnetic (1200 and 3600 gauss) in comparing with the control one (Table 5). Group consumed

ordinary tap water was showed highest ($P<0.05$) NH_3 -N value and lowest ($P<0.05$) TVFA's concentrations than those consumed magnetic water. The higher ($P<0.05$) obtained VFA's with the two magnetic groups could be reflected from their more digestibility coefficients, or the more utilization of dietary energy and positive fermentation in the rumen. The highest number ($P<0.01$) of total bacteria was recorded with T_2 followed by T_1 , compared with C group. Microbial protein yield were significantly ($P<0.01$) increased for magnetic groups than control group. The reduction of ammonia nitrogen in the rumen liquor appears to be a result of increased incorporation of ammonia nitrogen into microbial protein and it was considered as a direct result to stimulated microbial activity. As the depletion of ammonia by rumen micro flora means increased microbial protein caused by drinking magnetic water, which leads to activation of cells activated by the metabolic processes [69,70]. Al-Hafez et al. [70] illustrated that sheep consumed magnetic water significantly decreases ruminal pH at the level of 1400 gauss, with non significant differences in ammonia concentration between groups.

Table 5: Effects of magnetic water on rumen parameters of Zaraibi does (means \pm SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T_1	T_2	SEM	Sig.
PH	6.65 ^a	6.39 ^b	6.31 ^b	0.06	*
NH_3 -N concentration (mg/100mlR.L)	16.91 ^a	14.84 ^b	14.15 ^b	0.57	*
VFA's Concentration (meq/100 mlR.L)	9.66 ^b	12.08 ^a	12.83 ^a	0.62	*
Total Bacteria counts $\times 10^7$ cfu/ml	6.86 ^c	8.18 ^b	8.93 ^a	0.09	**
Microbial Protein Yield (mg/dl)	149.60 ^c	161.80 ^b	173.20 ^a	1.28	**

** $P<0.01$ and * $P<0.05$

a, b and c: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly ($P<0.05$) different.

Methane production

Methane was decreased in a linear manner with consuming magnetic water by about 30.14 and 32.19% for 1200 and 3600 gauss, respectively relative to the control (Table 6). Kessel and Russell [71] reported that it were able to elegantly demonstrate the relationship between feed composition, rumen acidity, and methanogen activity.

Degradation kinetics

It illustrated that washing loss fraction "a" of DM, NDF and CP among groups was insignificantly different ($P>0.05$) (Table 7). Degradable fraction "b", rate of degradation "c" and effective degradability "ED" of DM and NDF for the control group were less compared with other groups; these could be related to the its less nutrients digestibility. Higher ($P<0.01$) degradable fraction "b"

of DM and NDF and higher effective degradability "ED" of NDF were noticed with group consumed magnetic water with 3600 gauss. However, insignificantly different ($P>0.05$) found between the two magnetic groups for their rate of degradation "c" and effective degradability "ED" of DM. It seems that magnetic water had no effect on any of degradation kinetics and the effective degradability for crude protein. Kattnig et al. [72] cited that changes in species of ruminal bacteria between sheep drinking water a high salts compared with those drinking low salts water. This change leads to variation in nutrients degradability in the rumen [73]. Al-Hafez et al. [70] illustrated that sheep consumed magnetic water had significantly increased in the bacteria and protozoa counts at 700 and 1400 gauss levels compared with the control one. If such changes in microbial populations occurred, they had discernible effect on the rate of DMD.

Table 6: Effects of magnetic water on methane production (ml/gDM) *in vitro* for 24h incubation.

Items	Treatments				
	C	T ₁	T ₂	SEM	Sig.
CH ₄ (ml/gDM)	14.60 ^a	10.20 ^b	9.90 ^b	0.19	*
CH ₄ depression, %	-	30.14	32.19	-	-

*P< 0.05

a and b: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P<0.05) different.

Table 7: Effects of magnetic water on the degradation kinetics of DM, NDF and CP for ration (mean ± SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T ₁	T ₂	SEM	Sig.
DM					
a	25.32	25.12	25.39	0.12	NS
b	44.24 ^c	46.93 ^b	48.87 ^a	0.11	**
c	0.044 ^b	0.048 ^a	0.049 ^a	0.001	*
ED DM	46.03 ^b	48.11 ^a	49.58 ^a	0.50	**
NDF					
a	8.97	9.08	9.11	0.04	NS
b	53.26 ^c	55.96 ^b	57.77 ^a	0.33	**
c	0.031 ^b	0.036 ^a	0.039 ^a	0.001	*
ED NDF	29.35 ^c	32.51 ^b	34.42 ^a	0.45	**
CP					
a	23.46	23.47	23.44	0.03	NS
b	51.73	52.03	52.09	0.13	NS
c	0.062	0.062	0.063	0.002	NS
ED CP	52.09	52.27	52.48	0.25	NS

**P< 0.01, *P< 0.05 and NS: Not significant.

a, b and c: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P<0.05) different.

a: soluble fraction (%); b: potentially degradable fraction (%); c: rate of nutrient degradation (% h⁻¹).ED: Effective Degradability= $a+[bc/c + k]$, where k is the out flow rate.

Feeding experiment of lactating goats

Daily feed intake and water consumption: Averages of daily dry matter intake by Zaraibi goats during the experimental periods are summarized in Table 8. Higher feed intake was recorded for T₂, while insignificantly different (P> 0.05) between the control and T₁ (Table 8). The same trend was noticed with bucks in the digestibility trial. The R/C ratio recorded 59/41 for C and T₁ and 60/40 for T₂. Does consumed the least amount for water with control group (228.09 ml/ kgw^{0.75}), while recorded the highest consumption with T₂ (259.71 ml/ kgw^{0.75}). When the daily water consumption was related to DM intake (ml/g DM intake) it kept the same trend above where it ranged from 2.61 (C) to 2.75 (T₂). The result indicates a direct relationship between voluntary water consumed and milk yield in dairy goats, these findings are in accordance with those obtained by Ibrahim et al. [74]. Al-

Mufarrej et al. [6] attributed the save in water intake and the high benefit of the consumed magnetic water to the changes in water properties such as surface tension, fluidity, absorbency, pH level and dissolving capabilities. In paradox to this elucidation, Lardy and Stolltenow [75] emphasized that water magnetization affects some of the minerals utilization such as calcium and magnesium which in turn may converts water to be unpalatable.

Milk yield and composition: Daily milk yield of Zaraibi does consumed magnetic water was significantly higher (p<0.01) than control group (Table 9). Those in T₂ had more milk yield then T₁ and the control one. It also had yielded significantly more 4% FCM, milk fat and protein than other groups. The increase in milk production may be attributed to the outcome of the positive impact of magnetic water on digestion; absorption; growth of cells and their functions; circulatory system and udder [62,76]. This

could be also, due to that magnetic water works on increasing in the secretion of the prolactin hormone through the effect of the endorphins hormone, which increases the stimulation and thus lead to an increase in milk production [77]. The findings are consistent with Al-Marou [78] who noted a significant increase in milk production with ewes drink intensity magnetic water (700 and 1400 gauss) in comparison with the control. As well these

results were consistent with the finding of Shamsaldain and Al Rawee [18], who have suggested that milk production from Awassi sheep was increased when they drink magnetic water intensity (1000 gauss) compared to tap water. Improvement in milk yield was associated with an increase in fat and protein production, which agreed with that reported by Al-Jack [79]; Sargolzehi et al. [4] and Shamsaldain and Al Rawee [18].

Table 8: Effects of magnetic water on dry matter intake and water consumption of lactating Zaraibi does (means±SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T ₁	T ₂	SEM	Sig.
Number of doe	5	5	5	-	-
Body weight, kg	36.47	36.39	35.9	-	-
Metabolic body size, W ^{0.75}	14.84	14.82	14.67	-	-
DM Intake, g/d					
CFM	536.39 ^b	540.90 ^b	549.92 ^a	4.19	*
Corn silage	380.32 ^b	388.63 ^b	417.08 ^a	2.23	*
Rice straw	380.73 ^b	385.26 ^b	416.99 ^a	2.12	*
TDMI	1297.44 ^b	1314.79 ^b	1383.99 ^a	7.74	*
R:C ratio	59:41	59:41	40:60	-	-
DM g/kgw ^{0.75}	87.43 ^b	88.72 ^b	94.34 ^a	2.57	*
Water Consumption, ml					
ml/d	3385 ^b	3520 ^{ab}	3810 ^a	55.97	*
ml/ kgw ^{0.75}	228.09 ^b	237.52 ^{ab}	259.71 ^a	1.96	*
ml/g DM intake	2.61 ^b	2.68 ^{ab}	2.75 ^a	0.03	*

*P< 0.05

a and b: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P<0.05) different.

Table 9: Effects of magnetic water on milk yields and milk composition for lactating Zaraibi does (means±SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T ₁	T ₂	SEM	Sig.
Production (kg/day)					
Milk yields	0.902 ^c	1.011 ^b	1.049 ^a	0.03	**
4 % FCM	0.796 ^c	0.974 ^b	1.035 ^a	0.19	**
Milk fat	0.029 ^b	0.038 ^{ab}	0.041 ^a	0.04	*
Milk protein	0.027 ^b	0.032 ^{ab}	0.034 ^a	0.02	*
Milk composition (%)					
Total solids	11.51 ^b	12.66 ^a	12.88 ^a	0.19	*
Solids not fat	8.32 ^b	8.88 ^a	8.94 ^a	0.13	*
Fat	3.19 ^c	3.78 ^b	3.94 ^a	0.04	*
Protein	3.03 ^b	3.19 ^a	3.23 ^a	0.05	*
Lactose	4.56 ^b	4.97 ^a	4.98 ^a	0.03	*
Ash	0.73	0.72	0.73	0.01	NS

**P< 0.01, *P< 0.05 and NS: Not significant.

a, b and c: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P<0.05) different.

Zaraibi does drinking magnetic water had significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) TS, SNF, fat, protein and lactose (%) than control group, while ash content was not affected. Lower milk composition (%) and yields (g/d) were found for does drinking ordinary tap water. Reason for the high percentage of milk protein with magnetic water may be due to the amount of milk protein is directly proportional to the amount of milk produced, or to an improvement in increasing the digestion of crude protein, where drinking magnetic water works to an increase in small intestines movement for sheep and increase the processes of digestion and absorption [80]. Rodriguez et al. [81] noted that magnetic field could leads to a decrease in the melatonin hormone in lactating cows, Suttie et al. [82] cited decrease the melatonin hormone who leads to an increase in the (IGF-1) Insulin-like growth factor-1 or may lead to an increase in the secretion of prolactin hormone and

this increase are important in the secretion of milk. These results agreed with Al-Marou [78] whereas in his study on Awassi sheep milk fat increased as well as protein, lactose and SNF.

Labneh manufacturing

Yoghurt from T_1 and T_2 groups drink magnetic water was coagulated in shorter time (176 and 173 minutes, respectively), compared with control group (184 minutes) (Table 10). The shorter time may be due to the higher total solids of milk with groups drink magnetic water. The higher acidity and lower pH with magnetic groups emphasize the activity of starter culture in inverting lactose into lactic acid [83]. So, it can say no inhibition effect of milk obtained from goats offered water exposed to magnetic system.

Table 10: Effects of magnetic water on the recoagulation time of yogurt making.

Items	Treatments		
	C	T_1	T_2
Acidity,%	0.71	0.76	0.79
pH	4.72	4.61	4.57
Coagulation time, minutes	184	176	173

Yield and chemical composition of labneh

It is clear that yield of labneh from milk got from goats in T_1 and T_2 groups are higher than that obtained from control group (Table 11). The higher total solids of milk led to higher yields. T.S, fat, protein and ash of control labneh were lower than that

produced from magnetic groups. It is known that most of milk component retained into the curd and the whey protein, some of lactose and minerals are strained into the whey. So the higher T.S, fat, protein and minerals of labneh of magnetic groups owed to their higher content in milk. These observations are similar to those reported by Mehana et al. [84] and Ibrahim et al. [74].

Table 11: Effects of magnetic water on yield and gross chemical composition of labneh.

Items	Treatments				
	C	T_1	T_2	SEM	Sig.
Labneh Yield					
Yield, %	23.81 ^b	25.12 ^a	25.86 ^a	1.08	*
Chemical Composition					
Total Solids, %	43.29 ^b	45.28 ^a	45.74 ^a	1.42	*
Fat, %	18.71 ^b	20.60 ^a	20.87 ^a	0.93	*
Fat/DM, %	43.22 ^b	45.49 ^a	45.63 ^a	1.04	*
Protein, %	14.05 ^b	15.20 ^a	15.38 ^a	0.72	*
Protein /DM, %	32.45 ^b	33.57 ^a	33.62 ^a	0.88	*
Ash, %	3.90	3.95	3.98	0.65	NS

* $P < 0.05$ and NS: Not significant.

a and b: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly ($P < 0.05$) different.

Organoleptic properties

An important parameter to determine the quality and shelf life of labneh is sensoric properties (Table 12). For all treatments as the storage time progressed the total scoring points decreased. It seems that type of milk had no marked effect on color and appearance of labneh since color of goats' milk is bright white and not affected by type of drinking water. Labneh with T_2 gained

higher total scoring points. The higher fat content gives labneh smooth texture and rich flavors, which admired the judges. The clean acid flavor was more pronounced in labneh of treated groups than control one, but after storage with increasing the acidity development, the sharp acidity flavor annoyed the panelists [85]. Fresh labneh with C, T_1 and T_2 gained total scoring points 94, 96 and 98 out of 100, respectively.

Table 12: Evaluation scoring points of labneh through 21 days at 5±1°C.

Items		Treatments		
		C	T ₁	T ₂
Fresh	F	43	44	45
	BT	29	29	30
	AC	13	13	13
	A	9	10	10
	T	94	96	98
7 days	F	41	43	43
	BT	27	28	30
	AC	13	13	13
	A	8	9	9
	T	89	93	95
15 days	F	36	38	38
	BT	25	26	28
	AC	11	11	11
	A	7	8	8
	T	79	83	85
21 days	F	30	32	33
	BT	22	24	25
	AC	8	8	8
	A	6	7	7
	T	66	71	73

F: Flavour (45points); BT: Body & Texture (30points); AC: Appearance & Color (15points); A: Acidity (10points); T: Total Score Point (100 points)

Blood hematological and biochemical constituents

Hematological parameters data (Table 13) revealed significant differences ($P < 0.01$) among groups in concentrations of hemoglobin (Hgb), red blood cells (RBC's), and white blood cells (WBC's). The highest values were recorded with group received magnetic water at the level of 3600 gauss, while the lowest were recorded with does in control group. The improvement in metabolic profiles of does that drank magnetic exposed water could be attributed to enhancing metabolic cycles, minerals solubility such as Fe and/or Cu as evidenced by increasing RBC's and Hgb and nutrients transfer to various body cells, movement of blood within the arteries facilitating the transport of oxygen-bearing blood and nutrients to different body cells [86]. Increasing the RBC's count has attributed to increase the intensity of water processor magnetically to that the magnetic field works on iron attract in the blood and then connect the blood in larger quantities to the area causing an increase the number of RBC's and Hgb and therefore carried oxygen more to cells [87]. Also, the increase in the WBC's count may be due to increase the severity of the water processor magnetically to increase the emergence of these cells configured sites in the bone marrow into the circulatory system by the impact of some hormonal factors [88]. Also, it has led to that an increase in body immunity through the increased proportion of lymph cells. Rise of lymph cells percent may be due that magnetic water increases the content of immune globulin in the blood and

increase the number of defensive white blood cells [89].

It was found that using magnetic water at the levels of 1200 and 3600 gauss caused a significant ($P < 0.05$) increase in glucose, total protein, albumin and globulin compared with does that drank unmagnetic water. On the other hand, significantly ($P < 0.05$) decreased in cholesterol concentration with treatments groups than control group. Furthermore, water treatments did not influence albumin/globulin ratio. This finding agrees with those reported by Shamsaldain and Al Rawee [18] and Attia et al. [3]. Increasing the concentration of total protein level may play positive role in an increase in growth and the consumption of protein to build somatic cells [90]. Luo et al. [91] reported that single exposure to electromagnetic field (EMF) decrease the serum values of total cholesterol concentration and triglyceride level. The mechanism of EMF action in biological systems can be examined by its interaction with moving charges and enzymes activities rates in cell-free systems increasing transcript levels for specific genes. However, EMF also interacts directly with electrons in DNA to affect protein biosynthesis [92]. Effect of drinking treated water on the kidney function parameters, showed that treatments at the levels of 1200 and 3600 gauss caused a significant ($P < 0.05$) decrease in urea than control one. Data of AST and ALT showed that magnetic water had a significant ($P < 0.05$) decrease in AST and ALT than unmagnetic water. So, these parameters showing improved renal and liver function due to magnetic treatment.

Table 13: Effects of magnetic water on hematological profiles and blood biochemical constituents of Zaraibi does (means±SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T ₁	T ₂	SEM	Sig.
Hemoglobin(Hgb), g/dl	9.59 ^c	10.11 ^b	10.63 ^a	0.06	**
Red blood cells (RBC's)×10 ⁶ / μl	11.21 ^c	11.84 ^b	12.29 ^a	0.08	**
White blood cells (WBC's)×10 ³ / μl	7.23 ^c	8.30 ^b	8.98 ^a	0.23	**
Glucose, mg/dl	64.13 ^c	70.26 ^b	76.93 ^a	1.06	**
Cholesterol, mg/dl	86.18 ^a	74.22 ^b	71.82 ^b	2.30	*
Total Protein, g/dl	7.21 ^c	7.88 ^b	8.53 ^a	0.12	**
Albumin, g/dl	3.88 ^c	4.15 ^b	4.46 ^a	0.07	**
Globulin, g/dl	3.33 ^b	3.73 ^{ab}	4.07 ^a	0.06	*
A/G ratio	1.165	1.116	1.090	0.03	NS
Urea-N, mg/dl	15.33 ^a	11.81 ^b	11.14 ^b	0.59	*
AST, u /L	38.93 ^a	31.74 ^b	30.17 ^b	1.13	*
ALT, u /L	15.25 ^a	11.52 ^b	11.23 ^b	0.41	*

**P< 0.01, *P< 0.05 and N.S: Not significant.

a, b and c: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P<0.05) different.

Blood antioxidant enzymes

Magnetic water resulted in higher (P<0.05) glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) compared to those of goats that drank unmagnetic water (Table 14). Catalase enzyme activity was highly remarkable with T₂ comparison to other groups. Poor water quality negatively affected animal performance and welfare [3,93]. Conti et al. [94] illustrated that the increase in antioxidant status in blood plasma suggested increasing stability of cell. Antioxidants are reducing

agents, and limit oxidative damage to biological structures by passive free radicals [95]. It's well known that antioxidant enzymes mainly SOD and CAT is the first line defensive against free radicals which cause oxidative damage in animal tissues. Catalase (CAT) is one of the most important intracellular enzymes in the detoxification of the oxidant hydrogen peroxide. Meantime, the activity of AT and SOD enzymes is inhibited with high level of toxic metabolites [96]. Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) is the most powerful antioxidant enzyme protects cellular proteins against reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the body [97].

Table 14: Effects of magnetic water on of antioxidant enzymes of Zaraibi does (means±SE).

Items	Treatments				
	C	T ₁	T ₂	SEM	Sig.
GSH-Px, u/gHb	260.73 ^b	284.76 ^a	292.84 ^a	4.08	*
CAT, u/gHb	1516.32 ^c	1695.16 ^b	1876.83 ^a	11.98	*
SOD, u/gHb	853.75 ^b	929.62 ^a	946.11 ^a	9.62	*

*P< 0.05

a, b and c: means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P<0.05) different.

Conclusion

Whereas magnetic treatment resulted in improved water quality which consequently improves nutrient digestibility, saves water consumption, optimizing rumen fermentation parameters, and it could an effective way to reduce methane production and contributing to mitigate environmental impact in livestock,

positive animal health, which is reflected in the increase in milk yield and its component and it is possible to process high quality labneh and improves blood picture and antioxidant status. A reappraisal of magnetizing treatment of water containing differ powerful magnetic field and longer time on various aspects of goats production is suggested for future studies.

References

- NRC (2007) Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: sheep, goats, cervids, and New World camelids. National Research Council of the National Academies, National Academies Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Chiba LI (2009) Animal Nutrition handbook. Second revision. pp. 1-552.
- Attia YA, Abd El-Hamid EA, Ismaiel AM, El-Nagar A, Asmaa S (2013) The detoxication of nitrate by two antioxidants or a probiotic and the effects on blood and seminal plasma profiles and reproductive function of NZW rabbit bucks. *Animal* 7(4): 591-601.
- Sargolzehi MM, Rohn-Abadi MR, Naserian AA (2010) The effects of magnetic water on milk and blood components of lactating Saanen goats. *Inte J Chem* 1(1): 57-62.
- Coey JM, Cass S (2000) Magnetic water treatment. *J Magn Magn Mater* 209(1-3): 71-74.
- Al-Mufarrej S, Al-Batshan HA, Shalaby MI, Shafey TM (2005) The effects of magnetically treated water on the performance and immune system of broiler chickens. *Inte J Poul Sci* 4(2): 96-102.
- Lin I, Yotvat J (1989) Exposure of irrigation water to magnetic field with controlled power and direction: effects on grapefruit. *Alon Hanotea* 43: 669-674.
- Lam M (2001) Magnetic water. www.DrLam.com.
- Verma SS (2011) Magnetic water treatment. *Chemical Business Journal*, 13-16
- Lin IJ, Yotvat J (1990) Exposure of irrigation and drinking water to a magnetic field with controlled power and direction. *J. Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* 83(1-3): 525-526.
- Ma YL, Ren H, Ren S, Zhen EK, Hao G, et al. (1992) A study of the effect of magnetic water on enzyme activities by potentiometric enzyme electrode method. *J Tongji Medi Univ* 12(4): 193-196.
- Buyukuslu N, Celik O, Atak C (2006) The effect of magnetic field on the activity of superoxide dismutase. *Journal of Cell and Molecular Biology* 5: 57-62.
- Mg-Therapy (2000) Magnetic water. <http://www.Thepainrelivers.com.au/about-magnetic-therapy-pain-relife.php>.
- Scollan ND, Greenwood PL, Newbold CJ, Yáñez Ruiz DR, Shingfield KJ, et al. (2010) Future research priorities for animal production in a changing world. *Anim Prod Sci* 51(1): 1-5.
- Gilani A, Kermanshahi H, Golian A, Gholizadeh M, Mohammadpour AA (2014) Assessment of magnetic drinking water on excreta quality, nutrients digestibility, serum components and histomorphology of digestive tract in broiler chickens. *Res Opin Anim Vet Sci* 4(3): 120-127.
- Khoshravesh M, Mostafazadeh-Fard B, Mousavi SF, Kiani AR (2011) Effects of magnetic water on the distribution pattern of soil water with respect to time in trickle irrigation. *Soil Use and Management* 27(4): 515-522.
- Mostafazadeh-Fard B, Khoshravesh M, Mousavi SF, Kiani AR (2012) Effects of magnetic water on soil chemical components underneath trickle irrigation. *J Irrig Drain Eng* 138(12): 1075-1081.
- Shamsaldain QZ, Al Rawee EA (2012) Effect of magnetic water on productive efficiency of Awassi sheep. *Iraqi J Vete Sci* 26(2): 129-135.
- Khilil SH, AL-Kabi WA, Muhamed HA, Shahid B (2010) Cytogenic and bacteriological study of raw and magnetic milk. *J Biotech Rese Cent* 4(2): 70-75.
- Babeker EA (2013) Effects of physically conditioned water on rumen liquor of desert sheep in Sudan. *J Univ Bakht Alruda Sci* (8): 155-168.
- Patterson DC, Chestnutt DM (1994) The effect of magnetic treatment of drinking water on growth, feed utilisation and carcass composition of lambs. *Anim Feed Sci Tech* 46(1-2): 11-21.
- HMSO (1981) Total hardness, calcium hardness and magnesium hardness in raw and potable water by EDTA titrimetry. Methods for the extraction of water and associated materials, tentative method HMSO London.
- Clesceri LS, Greenberg AE, Eaton AD (1998) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, (20th edn). American public health association, american water works association, water environmental federation Baltimore, USA.
- Heghedűş-mindru G, Heghedűş-mindru RC, Negrea P, Ştef DS, Sumălan RL, et al. (2013) quantitative determination by atomic absorption spectrometry for macro elements of mineral waters from Romania. *Review on Agri Rural Devel* 2(1): 239-244.
- AOAC (2007) Official Method of Analysis. (18th edn), Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC, USA.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J Dairy Sci* 74(10): 3583-3597.
- Carrol EJ, Hungate RE (1954) The magnitude of microbial fermentation in the bovine rumen. *Appl Microbiol* 2(4): 205-214.
- Al-Rabbat MF, Baldwin RL, Weir WC (1971) *In vitro* nitrogen-tracer technique for some kinetic measures of rumen ammonia. *J Dairy Sci* 54(8): 1150-1161.
- Warner A (1964) Production of volatile fatty acids in the rumen, methods of measurement. *Nutr Abst Rev* 34: 339-352.
- Difco M (1984) Dehydrated Culture Media Reagents for Microbiology. (10th edn), Difco Laboratories Incorporated Detroit, Michigan, USA, pp. 689.
- Makkar HP, Sharma OP, Dawra RK, Negi SS (1982) Simple determination of microbial protein in rumen liquor. *J Dairy Sci* 65(11): 2170-2173.
- Mehrez AZ, Ørskov ER (1977) A study of the artificial fibre bag technique for determining the digestibility of feeds in the rumen. *J Agri Sci Camb* 88(3): 645- 650.
- Kamel H, Sekine J, Suga T, Morita Z (1995) The effect of frozen-ret hawing technique on detaching firmly associated bacteria from *in situ* hay residues. *Can J Anim Sci* 75: 481.
- Ørskov ER, McDonald I (1979) The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighed according to rate of passage. *J Agric Sci Camb* 92(2): 499-503.
- McDonald I (1981) A revised model for the estimation of protein degradability in the rumen. *J Agric Sci Camb* 96(1): 251-252.
- Bueno IC, Cabral Filho SL, Gobbo SP, Louvandini H, Vitti DM, et al. (2005) Influence of inoculum source in a gas production method.

- Anim Feed Sci Tech 123-124(1): 95-105.
37. Longo C, Bueno IC, Nozella EF, Godoy PB, Cabral Filho SL, et al. (2006) The influence of head-space and inoculum dilution on *in vitro* ruminal methane measurements. International Congress Series 1293: 62-65.
 38. Theodorou MK, Williams BA, Dhanoa MS, McAllan AB, France J (1994) A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. Anim Feed Sci Technol 48(3-4): 185-197.
 39. Tavendale MH, Meahger LP, Pacheco D, Walker N, Attwood GG, et al. (2005) Methane production from *in vitro* rumen incubations with Lotus pedunculatus and Medicago sativa, and effects of extractable condensed tannin fractions on methanogenesis. Anim Feed Sci Technol 123-124(1): 403-419.
 40. Steel RD, Torrie JH (1980) Principals and Procedures of statistics: A biometrical approach. (2nd edn), McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, USA, pp. 137.
 41. Galatov AN (1994) The effect of cross breeding on milk production of fine wool ewes. Animal Breed Abst 62: 211.
 42. Tamime AY, Robinson RK (1978) Some aspects of concentrated yoghurt (Labneh) popular in the Middle East. Milchwissenschaft 33: 209-212.
 43. Ling ER (1963) A Text book of Dairy chemistry. Practical (4th edn) Chapman and Hall Ltd. London, pp. 1-135.
 44. Nelson JA, Trout GM (1965) Judging dairy products. (4th edn), The Osden Publishing Co. Milwaukee Wis 53: 212.
 45. Trinder P (1969) Determination of blood glucose using an oxidase-peroxidase system with a non-carcinogenic chromogen. J Clin Pathol 22(2): 158-161.
 46. Tietz NW (1986) Textbook of Clinical Chemistry. WB Saunders, Philadelphia, USA.
 47. Henry RJ, Cannon DC, Winkelman JW (1974) Clinical chemistry: Principles and Techniques. (11th edn), Happer and Row Publishers, New York, USA, pp. 1629.
 48. Doumas BT, Watson WA, Biggs HG (1971) Albumin standards and measurement of serum with bromocresol green. Clin Chem Acta 31(1): 87-96.
 49. Henry JB, Todd SD (1974) Clinical Diagnosis and Measurement by Laboratory Methods. (16th edn), WB Saunders and Co. Philadelphia, PA, USA, pp. 260.
 50. Reitman S, Frankel S (1957) A calorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. Amer J Clin Path 28(1): 56-63.
 51. Schalm OW, Jain NC, Carroll EJ (1975) Veterinary Hematology. Fundamentals of Clinical chemistry. (3rd edn), Saunders Company, USA.
 52. Moron MS, Depierre JW, Mannervik B (1979) Levels of glutathione, glutathione reductase and glutathione-S-transferase activities in rat lung and liver. Biochem Biophys Acta 582(1): 67-78.
 53. Caliborne AL (1985) Assay of catalase. In: Greenwald RA (Ed.), Handbook of Oxygen Radical Research. CRC Press, Boca-Raton, Florida, pp. 190.
 54. Marklund SL, Marklund G (1974) Involvement of superoxide anion radical in the auto oxidation of pyrogallol and a convenient assay for superoxide dismutase. Eur J Biochem 47(3): 469-474.
 55. SAS (2009) SAS/STAT® 9.2 User's Guide. (2nd edn), SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA.
 56. Duncan DB (1955) Multiple ranges and multiple F- test. Biometric 11: 1-42.
 57. Ibrahim H (2006) Biophysical properties of magnetic distilled water. Egypt J Sol 29(2): 1-7.
 58. Cho YI, Lee SH (2005) Reduction in the surface tension of water due to physical water treatment for fouling control in heat exchangers. Int Commun Heat Mass Transfer 32(1-2): 1-9.
 59. Harakawa S, Inoue N, Hori T, Tochio K, Kariya T, et al. (2005) Effects of exposure to a 50 Hz electric field on plasma levels of lactate, glucose, free fatty acids, triglycerides and creatine phosphokinase activity in hind-limb ischemic rats. J Vet Med Sci 67(10): 969-974.
 60. Tkachenko Y, Semyonova N (1995) Your way to health, Magnetic water plus separate nutrition. In: Yuri P Tkachenko (Ed.), Mysteries of Magnetic Energies A Collection of Scientific Works on the Usage of Magnetic Energies in Medical Practice. Printing Emirates, Printing & Publishing-Sharjah, UAE, 6: 225-244.
 61. Kronenberg KJ (1985) Experimental evidence for effects of magnetic fields on moving water. IEEE Transaction on Magnetics 21(5): 2059-2061.
 62. Hussen MA (2002) Magnetic water treatment is an attractive option. (<http://www.1st-in-wellness.com>).
 63. McCord JM (2000) The evolution of free radicals and oxidative stress. Am Med J 108(8): 652-659.
 64. Qiu-jiang L, Na-na L, Kai-lun Y, Zhi-gao T, Guo-qing Z (2008) Effects of the Magnetic-treated Water on Digestion and Metabolism of Small Tail Han Ewes. J of Xinjiang Agri Univ 2008-01.
 65. Levy D (1992) The effect of magnetically treated drinking water on the of fattening cattle. J Agri 27(7): 15-20.
 66. Rodriguez M, Petitclerc D, Burchard JF, Nguyen DH, Block E, et al. (2003) Responses of the estrous cycle in dairy cows exposed to electric and magnetic fields (60 Hz) during 8-h photoperiods. Anim Reprod Sci 77(1-2): 11-20.
 67. Attia YA, Abd El-Hamid AE, El-Hanoun AM, Al-Harathi MA, Abdel-Rahman GM, et al. (2015) Responses of the fertility, semen quality, blood constituents, immunity and antioxidant status of rabbit bucks to type and magnetizing of water. Ann Anim Sci 15(2): 387-407.
 68. Neto GB, Rodini JE, Coelho C, Lopes M, Pinheiro M, Nogueira J (2014) Water treated by magnetic field to reduce excess nitrogen output. J Agri Envir Sci 3(4): 17-28.
 69. Al-Kabi W (2006) Study of water-magnetic effect on the microbial content of the water Aldioanah River and its impact on the genetic content in mammals. University of Qadisiyah, Iraq.
 70. Al-Hafez MA, Nadia MB, Arif KH (2013) Effect of the magnetic water on rumen kinetic in Awassi rams. Diyala Agri Sci J 5(2): 458-464.
 71. Kessel J, Russell J (1996) The Effect of pH on Ruminant Methanogenesis. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 20(4): 205-210.
 72. Kattnig RM, Pordomingo AJ, Schneberger AG, Duff GC, Wallace JD (1992) Influence of saline water on intake, digesta kinetics, and serum profiles of steers. J Range Manage 45: 514-518.

73. Hungate RE (1966) The rumen and its microbes. Academic Press, New York, London, pp. 533.
74. Ibrahim FA, Ayad KM, Ahmed ME, El-Kholeny ME (2013) Effect of using chufa tubers (*Cyperus esculentus*) in zaraibi goats diets on the resultant milk and labneh. *Egypt J of sheep and goat sci* 8(1): 201-212.
75. Lardy G, Stoltenow C (1999) Livestock and water. North Dakota State University, (NDSU Extension Service).
76. Lebeau J (2001) Diamagnetic therapy. Preview on how to use magnets. Part I. Advanced holistic alternative cancer library answers, research and treatment. (www.cancer.com).
77. MTC (2006) The effect of magnetic field on the taste of water. Magnetic Therapy Council. (www.Magnetictherapyfacts.org).
78. Al-Marou MW (2011) The effect of the use of magnetic water in milk production and its components and the growth of the Awassi lambs. Mosul University, Iraq.
79. Al-Jack BH (2001) The effect of magnetic water on milk properties and bacterial density. Scientific research DEMO, University of Science and Technology, Sudan.
80. Barrett S (2002) Consumer Health Digest. Nation Council Against Health Fraud.
81. Rodriguez M, Petitclerc D, Nguyen DH, Block E, Burchard JF (2002) Effect of Electric and Magnetic Fields (60 Hz) on Production, and Levels of Growth Hormone and Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1, in Lactating, pregnant cows Subjected to short Days. *J Dairy Sci* 85(11): 2843-2849.
82. Suttie JM, Breier BH, Gluckman PD, Littlejohn RP, Webster JR (1992) Effect of MLT implants on insulin-like growth factor-1 in male red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). *Gen Comp Endo* 87: 111-119.
83. Zourari A, Zourari A, Accolas JP, Desmazeaud MJ (1992) Metabolism and biochemical characteristics of yogurt bacteria. A review. *Le Lait* 72(1): 1-34.
84. Mehana MY, Khalil AE, Nasr MM, AL-Alfy TS, Ayyad KM (2004) Effect of feeding on some medicinal herbs on flavour and other properties of labneh made from goat's milk. *J Agric Sci Mansoura Univ* 29(1): 319- 333.
85. Thabet HM, Nogaim QA, Qasha AS, Abdoalaziz O, Alnsheme N (2014) Evaluation of the effects of some plant derived essential oils on shelf life extension of Labneh. *Merit Res J Food Sci Technol* 2(1): 008-014.
86. Al-Daraji HJ, Aziz AA (2008) The use of magnetically treated water for improving semen traits of roosters. *Al-Anbar J Vet Sci* 1: 79-92.
87. Rokicki R (2006) Magnetic fields and electro polished metallic implants. Medical Device and Diagnostic Industry.
88. Mbassa GK, Poulsen JS (1991) Influence of pregnancy lactation and environment on hematological profiles in Fanish landrace dairy goats (*capra hircus*) of different parity. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* 100(2): 403-412.
89. Donohue PG (2003) Can you drink too much water? Winona Daily News. www.winonadailynews.com.
90. Kaplan MM, Larsen PR (1985) The medical clinics of north America (thyroid disease), WB Saunders company. Philadelphia, USA.
91. Luo EP, Jiao LC, Shen GH, Wu XM, Cao YX (2004) Effects of exposing rabbits to low-intensity pulsed electromagnetic fields on levels of blood lipid and properties of hemorheology. *Chinese J Clin Rehabilitation* 8(18): 3670-3671.
92. Goodman R, Blank M (2002) Insights into electromagnetic interaction mechanisms. *J Cell Physiol* 192(1): 16-22.
93. Jacob J, Pescatore T, Cantor A (2011) Why have my hens stopped laying! Bulletin. University of Kentuckys. College of Agriculture, USA.
94. Conti M, Morand PC, Levillain P, Lemonnier A (1990) Methode simple et rapide de dosage du malondialdehyde. *Act Pharm Biol Clin* 5: 365-368.
95. Sohal R, Mockett R, Orr WC (2002) Mechanisms of aging: an appraisal of the oxidative stress hypothesis. *Free Radic Biol Med* 33(5): 575-586.
96. Visavadiya NP, Narasimhacharya AV (2008) Sesame as a hypocholesteremic and antioxidant dietary component. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46(6): 1889-1895.
97. Arivazhagan S, Balesenthil S, Nagini S (2000) Garlic and neem leaf extracts enhance hepatic glutathione and glutathione dependent enzymes during N-methyl- N nitrosoguanidine (MNNG)-induced gastric carcinogenesis. *Phytotherapy Research* 14(4): 291-293.